

AMERICAN WATER RESOURCES ASSOCIATION

LONG-TERM TRENDS IN STREAMFLOW AND PRECIPITATION IN NORTHWEST CALIFORNIA AND SOUTHWEST OREGON, 1953-2012¹

J. Eli Asarian and Jeffrey D. Walker²

ABSTRACT: Using nonparametric Mann-Kendall tests, we assessed long-term (1953-2012) trends in streamflow and precipitation in Northern California and Southern Oregon at 26 sites regulated by dams and 41 "unregulated" sites. Few (9%) sites had significant decreasing trends in annual precipitation, but September precipitation declined at 70% of sites. Site characteristics such as runoff type (groundwater, snow, or rain) and dam regulation influenced streamflow trends. Decreasing streamflow trends outnumbered increasing trends for most months except at regulated sites for May-September. Summer (July-September) streamflow declined at many sites, including 73% of unregulated sites in September. Applying a LOESS regression model of antecedent precipitation vs. average monthly streamflow, we evaluated the underlying streamflow trend caused by factors other than precipitation. Decreasing trends in precipitation-adjusted streamflow substantially outnumbered increasing trends for most months. As with streamflow, groundwater-dominated sites had a greater percent of declining trends in precipitation-adjusted streamflow than other runoff types. The most pristine surface-runoffdominated watersheds within the study area showed no decreases in precipitation-adjusted streamflow during the summer months. These results suggest that streamflow decreases at other sites were likely due to more increased human withdrawals and vegetation changes than to climate factors other than precipitation quantity.

(KEY TERMS: surface water hydrology; runoff; rivers/streams; precipitation; climate variability/change; water supply; time series analysis.)

Asarian, J. Eli and Jeffrey D. Walker, 2016. Long-Term Trends in Streamflow and Precipitation in Northwest California and Southwest Oregon, 1953-2012. Journal of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA) 1-21. DOI: [10.1111/1752-1688.12381](info:doi/10.1111/1752-1688.12381)

INTRODUCTION

Water availability is a growing concern in the western United States (U.S.) for both humans and aquatic ecosystems, particularly during the hot and dry summer months (Moyle et al., 2013; Georgakakos et al., 2014). The climate is warming, shifting precipitation form from snow to rain, reducing snowpack, and causing earlier snowmelt (Regonda et al., 2005; Stewart et al., 2005; Barnett et al., 2008). As a result, in snow-dominated watersheds, the timing of peak streamflow has shifted to earlier in the year (Regonda et al., 2005; Stewart et al., 2005; Hidalgo et al., 2009; Fritze et al., 2011). Summer streamflows are correlated with spring snowpack (Godsey et al., 2014), and summer low flows are likely to decrease as the climate warms (Huntington and Niswonger, 2012; Berghuijs et al., 2014; Vano et al., 2015). Although the hydrologic effects of climate warming are

¹Paper No. JAWRA-15-0022-P of the Journal of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA). Received February 22, 2015; accepted October 27, 2015. © 2016 American Water Resources Association. Discussions are open until six months from issue publication.

²Aquatic Ecologist (Asarian), Riverbend Sciences, 1001 Oregon St./P.O. Box 2874, Weaverville, California 96093; and Environmental and Water Resources Engineer (Walker), Independent Consultant, Brunswick, Maine 04011 (E-Mail/Asarian: info@riverbendsci.com).

expected to be more severe in basins that currently receive substantial snow, rain-dominated basins will also be affected. For example, increased temperatures will increase evapotranspiration of natural vegetation (Vano et al., 2015) and increase water withdrawals for irrigating agricultural crops and landscaping (Katul *et al.*, 2012; Brown *et al.*, 2013) in both rainand snow-dominated basins.

Aside from the effects of a changing climate, aquatic ecosystems are also already heavily affected by human activities (Katz et al., 2013; Moyle et al., 2013). Large quantities of water are withdrawn from surface and groundwaters for agricultural, industrial, and residential uses (Kenny et al., 2009). Dams built for flood control and water supply have altered the timing and magnitude of peak and low streamflows (Magilligan and Nislow, 2005; Graf, 2006). Other human activities affecting landscape hydrology include urbanization (Booth and Jackson, 1997); wetland destruction through filling, draining (Fretwell et al., 1996), and beaver trapping (Naiman et al., 1988); hydraulic mining of floodplains (James, 1999); and alterations of forests through timber harvest (Bosch and Hewlett, 1982; Moore et al., 2004; Creed et al., 2014) and fire suppression. Most of these activities tend to decrease summer streamflows, with exceptions including dam releases to supplement summer flows (Magilligan and Nislow, 2005) and vegetation removal that can cause transient streamflow increases over multiyear periods (Jones and Post, 2004; Jones et al., 2009). Streamflow has particular ecological and societal importance during summer because human water demands (primarily for irrigation) are greater and streamflow tends to be lower than other seasons.

Long-term trends in streamflow can be caused by basin-scale changes in vegetation and human water withdrawals as well as regional climate variables such as precipitation and air temperature. The Mann-Kendall test for monotonic trend (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002; Yue *et al.*, 2002a) is the statistical test most commonly used to detect long-term hydrologic trends in the western U.S. (Clark, 2010; Mayer and Naman, 2011; Chang et al., 2012) and elsewhere (Pavelsky and Smith, 2006; Huo et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2011; Patterson et al., 2012; Jung et al., 2013; Ahn and Merwade, 2014). Although many studies use this same statistical test to detect trends, the methods used to ascertain mechanisms causing streamflow changes (i.e., climate change or land use) vary widely. These methods include paired catchment studies (Jones and Post, 2004; Zhao et al., 2010); regression models of precipitation-streamflow relationships (Huo et al., 2008) including comparison of pre-impact and post-impact periods (Bosch and Hewlett, 1982; Zhao et al., 2010); comparing the slopes of long-term precipitation and streamflow trends (Pavelsky and Smith, 2006); energy/water balances and relationships between rainfall, potential evapotranspiration, actual evapotranspiration, and streamflow (Zhang et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013; Ahn and Merwade, 2014); and physically based hydrological simulation models (Arnold et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012; Waibel et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Ahn and Merwade, 2014; Vano et al., 2015).

This article evaluates long-term (1953-2012) trends in monthly and annual streamflow at locations in Northwest California and Southwest Oregon representing a wide range of natural and human-caused factors that affect those trends. This analysis focuses in particular on summer (July-September) streamflows, the depletion of which has contributed to population declines in coldwater anadromous fish species such as coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) and steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) that must spend at least one summer in freshwater (Katz et al., 2013). Our study area includes streams featuring diverse natural variability (e.g., geology, elevation, vegetation, and wildfire activity), as well as varying degrees of human alteration and impact. Previous analyses of streamflow trends within our study area (Van Kirk and Naman, 2008; Kim and Jain, 2010; Madej, 2011; Mayer and Naman, 2011; Sawaske and Freyberg, 2014) focused on climate change detection and therefore only assessed streams that are relatively unimpacted by humans. The only exceptions are Van Kirk and Naman (2008) and Kim and Jain (2010) who each included a single stream with highly impaired summer streamflows (Scott River). The detection of human and landscape alteration effects on streamflow is often obscured by climate variability such as precipitation quantity which is highly variable from year to year. To disaggregate long-term trends in streamflow from climate-driven trends in precipitation quantity, we used a simple statistical model (Locally Estimated Scatterplot Smoothing [LOESS] regression of monthly streamflow vs. Antecedent Precipitation Index [API]) to account for the fluctuations in streamflow caused by precipitation variability. Using this model, we assessed the underlying trends in streamflow caused by factors other than precipitation.

STUDY AREA

The study area spans all watersheds draining to the Pacific Ocean from the Mattole River in northwestern California to the Rogue River in southwest-

ern Oregon, including the Eel and Klamath/Trinity River Basins (Figure 1). The study area was chosen to coincide with the range of the Southern Oregon/ Northern California Coast (SONCC) Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) in order to inform the National Marine Fisheries Services' development of an Endangered Species Act recovery plan for coho salmon (NMFS, 2014), which was the purpose of the initial version of this study (Asarian, 2015).

The study area comprises primarily mountainous terrain with some inland valleys and coastal plains. Elevations range from sea level to 4,300 m at Mount Shasta in California. The study area extends from the Coast Ranges along the Pacific Ocean east to the Klamath and Cascade mountains. Most of the study area has steep slopes, impermeable bedrock, and high precipitation (100-400 cm/year). The northeastern portion of the study area has permeable volcanic geology and a semiarid climate with annual precipitation

FIGURE 1. Map Showing the Location and Runoff Type for the 55 Streamflow Gages and the 12 Calculated Accretions Used in This Study. See Tables 1 and 2 for a key to site number labels. Developed and agricultural areas (Fry et al., 2011) are shown as indicators of hydrologic alteration.

ranging from 30 to 60 cm in the valleys to over 150 cm at the highest elevations. Conifer forests dominate the lower and higher elevations, and hardwood forests and grasslands are prevalent at the mid-elevations. The climate is Mediterranean, with cool wet winters and hot dry summers, except along the coast where summer temperatures are reduced due to marine influence. Most precipitation occurs in the winter and spring. Precipitation occurs as snow and rain at elevations from about 400 to 1,500 m, with snowpack generally accumulating above 1,500 m elevation from mid to late winter.

Human population density is relatively low, and the majority of land is federally owned. The largest population centers include Medford/Ashland (Rogue River Basin) and Klamath Falls (Klamath River Basin) in Oregon and Eureka/Arcata (Humboldt Bay) and Fortuna (Lower Eel River) in California. In those areas as well as the Shasta and Scott river valleys of California, agricultural irrigation is widely practiced (Table 1). Large dams (50 Mm^3) of total storage) are present along the upper reaches of the Klamath, Trinity, Eel, Rogue, and Applegate rivers, which substantially regulate streamflows (Table 1, Table S1). Residential and small-scale agricultural water withdrawals on private lands throughout the study area, including for marijuana (Cannabis sativa) cultivation, are widely considered to have cumulatively significant impacts to coldwater anadromous fish populations (NMFS, 2014). Data to quantify these withdrawals are relatively scarce, especially since many of the diversions are unregistered, but the amount of land devoted to marijuana cultivation, and the accompanying water diversions, appears to have increased dramatically in recent years (Bauer et al., 2015; Carah et al., 2015). Timber harvest has occurred throughout much of the area although it has been reduced in recent decades on federal lands.

Major floods occurred in the study area in 1955 and 1964 (Lisle, 1982; Madej and Ozaki, 2009), and streamflows could be affected by the resulting aggradation. Channel aggradation increases sediment stored in streambeds, increasing infiltration of surface runoff into streambeds which would become subsurface intergravel flow and not be included in streamflow measurements. Data on changes in geomorphological conditions are not comprehensively summarized/accessible for the study area. Available data indicate that streambeds in many streams degraded back to stable levels within five years of the 1964 flood (Lisle, 1982), with exceptions including the lowest reaches of Redwood Creek where elevations did not peak until the 1990s and are still degrading (Madej and Ozaki, 2009), and Bull Creek which continued to degrade until at least 1982 (Stillwater Sciences, 1999).

$\left(\rm{continued}\right)$ (continued)

ter of timing of streamflow (MM/DD). Key to runoff types: G, groundwater-dominated; S, snow-dominated; R, rain-dominated. Sites were classified as regulated if reservoir storter of timing of streamflow (MM/DD). Key to runoff types: G, groundwater-dominated; S, snow-dominated; R, rain-dominated. Sites were classified as regulated if reservoir storage as % of watershed precipitation [Res. Stor. (%)] is >0.5 if mainstem reservoirs present or >2 if mainstem reservoirs absent. ETAW, evaporation of applied water on of applied water evaporation ETAW. reservoirs absent. if mainstem or >2 present is >0.5 if mainstem reservoirs [Res. Stor. (%)] Site listed as "reference" by GAGES-II (Falcone, 2011). 1Site listed as "reference" by GAGES-II (Falcone, 2011). precipitation $\%$ of watershed agricultural lands. agricultural lands. age as

 \sin

2Site included in USGS HydroClimatic Data Network (HCDN) 2009 (Lins, 2012). included in USGS HydroClimatic Data Network (HCDN) 2009 (Lins, 2012). 3Two to four years missing within the period of record. four years missing within the period of record \mathbf{Tw} to Site i

METHODS

Streamflow Data and Catchment Boundaries

LONG-TERM TRENDS IN STREAMFLOW AND PRECIPITATION IN NORTHWEST CALIFORNIA AND SOUTHWEST OREGON, 1953-2012

Long-term U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) streamflow gages were identified through the GAGES-II project, which also provided GIS datasets of catchment boundaries (Falcone et al., 2010; Falcone, 2011). Streamflow data for 55 gages from the USGS National Water Information System ([http://nwis.wa](http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis) [terdata.usgs.gov/nwis](http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis), accessed February 2013) were supplemented by additional data at a subset of those sites from the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) ([http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/sw/hy](http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/sw/hydro_near_real_time) [dro_near_real_time](http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/sw/hydro_near_real_time), accessed February 2013) (Table 1, Figure 1). The streams in this study span a wide range of human influence from relatively unimpacted to highly impacted due to extensive dams and water diversions (Table 1).

Estimated Accretions between Streamflow Gages

Some river basins have multiple gages allowing for the calculation of accretions between gages. These accretions were calculated as the difference in observed streamflow between the upstream and downstream gages less any flow from additional gaged tributaries between the two gages. Accretions were calculated on a daily basis and then smoothed with a seven-day average to reduce the frequency of negative values. The calculated accretion represents the net contributions of all ungaged tributaries, springs, and groundwater inputs, minus removals from any diversions. The calculated accretions inherently include the combined measurement error of all the component gages and are therefore less accurate than flows measured at individual gages. Despite the increased uncertainty, the 12 calculated accretions (Table 2) provide valuable data to supplement the network of gages within the study area (Table 1) by allowing evaluation of streamflow in unregulated tributaries which provide critically important rearing habitat for juvenile salmonid fish in river systems with regulated mainstem flows (i.e., Klamath, Trinity, and Eel rivers).

Classification of Sites by Runoff Type and Flow Regulation

We classified streamflow sites by two criteria: runoff type (groundwater-dominated, snow-dominated, and rain-dominated) and flow regulation by dams (regulated and unregulated) (Table 1).

TABLE 2. Site Information for Calculated Accretions between Streamflow Gages.

Map No.	Accretion Name	Formula	Basin Area (km ²)	Mean Elev. (m)	Max Elev. (m)	Annual Precip. (cm)	CT	Runoff Type	Flow Reg.	Res. Stor. $(\%)$	ETAW (Mm^3/yr)
56	Klamath R Accretions:	21-22-36	2,025	717	2,106	197	02/19	$\mathbb R$	Y	0.0	4.6
	Klamath — Orleans — Trinity R										
57	Klamath R Accretions: Orleans — Seiad — Salmon R	22-24-33	2,050	1,007	2,232	188	02/26	$\mathbb R$	Y	0.0	0.2
58	Klamath R Accretions: Seiad — Iron Gate — Shasta $R -$ Scott R	24-25-35	2,201	1,142	2,521	86	03/16	$\mathbf R$	Y	0.0	9.4
59	Williamson R: Williamson R – Sprague R	29-31	3,699	1,554	2,751	71	03/24	G	Y	0.0	14.5
60	Williamson R Accretions: Below Sprague — Sprague R – Klamath Agency	29-31-30	224	1,413	1,753	58	03/24	$\mathbf G$	Y	0.0	1.1
61	Sprague R Accretions: Chiloquin — Beatty	31-32	2,759	1,579	2,469	57	03/22	G	Y	0.2	30.0
62	Trinity R Accretions: $Hoopa$ – Burnt Ranch – SF Trinity	36-37-40	1.684	958	2,308	164	02/22	$\mathbb R$	Y	0.0	0.0
63	Trinity R Accretions: Burnt Ranch — Lewiston	37-38	1,865	1,084	2,724	121	03/04	$\mathbf R$	Y	0.1	0.0
64	Redwood Cr Accretions: Orick - Blue Lake	42-41	543	450	1,247	182	02/11	$\mathbf R$	Y	0.0	0.1
65	Eel R Accretion: $Section$ - Ft Seward - SF Miranda	46-52-49	1,215	470	1,710	155	02/09	$\rm R$	Y	0.0	0.9
66	SF Eel R Accretions: $Miranda - Leggett$	49-48	748	440	1,245	179	02/06	$\mathbb R$	Y	0.1	0.0
67	Eel R Accretions: Ft Seward — Van Ars — MF	52-53-55	2,628	725	1,882	159	02/06	$\mathbb R$	Y	0.1	12.7

Notes: All notes to Table 1 also apply here. The numbers in the Formula column refer to the map numbers (Figure 1, Table 1) of the gages from which the accretion is calculated (downstream minus upstream minus any gaged tributaries). Modified base-flow index (BFI) is not shown because minimum flow seven-day average flow was not calculated due to high uncertainty of calculated accretions at such short time scales.

Geology and elevation affect hydrologic characteristics (Reidy Liermann et al., 2012; Patil et al., 2014) including response to changing climate and land cover/land use (Mayer and Naman, 2011; Waibel et al., 2013). Adapting criteria from Mayer and Naman (2011), groundwater-dominated vs. surface-dominated sites were differentiated by modified base-flow index (BFI, long-term average of the ratio of the annual minimum seven-day average flow to the annual mean daily flow), with groundwater-dominated basins having $BFI > 0.25$. Surface-dominated sites were further differentiated into rain-dominated and snow-dominated, according to elevation and the center of timing of streamflow (CT, the date by which 50% of the runoff in a water year has occurred). Snow basins had mean elevation >1,200 m, and CT occurring during or after mid-March. Rain basins had mean elevation <1,200 m and CT in or before mid-March. Nearly all groundwater-dominated sites occurred at elevations >1,200 m so we did not

differentiate these sites by elevation (snow vs. rain). Some professional judgment was applied for classification because dams and water diversions affect base-flow index and CT.

Flow regulation was assessed by comparing the combined volume of the water storage reservoirs in a watershed contributing to a streamflow site with total annual watershed precipitation (Table 1). Reservoir volumes were calculated using the NOAA Fisheries Dams 2005 GIS layer (Goslin, 2005) for California and the Oregon Dams 2010 GIS layer from the Oregon Department of Water Resources. Completion dates for the major dams within the study area range from 1910 to 1980 (Table S1). Total annual watershed precipitation was based on the 1981-2010 "normals" from the PRISM precipitation dataset (see Precipitation Data section below). Sites were classified as regulated if reservoir storage was >0.5% of watershed precipitation in watersheds with mainstem reservoirs or >2% in watersheds where no mainstem reservoirs exist.

Calculation of Streamflow Metrics

Key streamflow metrics were selected based on a review of previous analyses (Poff, 1996; Madej, 2011; Mayer and Naman, 2011; Chang et al., 2012) and calculated for each streamflow site and year. These metrics include minimum 7-day average flow, minimum 30-day average flow, minimum 90-day average flow, average flow for each month, annual mean flow, and center of timing of streamflow. Minimum flow sevenday average flow was not calculated for accretions between gages due to the increased uncertainty at shorter time scales.

Estimation of Agricultural Irrigation Consumptive Water Use

We estimated annual consumptive water use by irrigated agriculture in the California portion of the study area using data from the California Department of Water Resources (CDWR). CDWR uses land use surveys and water use models to estimate annual evapotranspiration of applied water (ETAW) at subbasin to basin scales which do not necessarily correspond to streamflow gage catchments. Using ETAW data for 1998-2001 (CDWR Annual Land & Water Use Estimates, [http://www.water.ca.gov/landwa](http://www.water.ca.gov/landwateruse/anlwuest.cfm) [teruse/anlwuest.cfm,](http://www.water.ca.gov/landwateruse/anlwuest.cfm) accessed November 2012) and 2002-2005 (Gholam Shakouri, CDWR, February 26, 2013, personal communication), we calculated the annual mean ETAW for each subbasin. We then evenly distributed the ETAW across the agricultural lands in the 2006 National Land Cover Database (Fry et al., 2011) within each subbasin and then aggregated the ETAW to the streamflow gage catchments (Table 1).

We followed similar steps to estimate agricultural irrigation water demand in Oregon using county-level data from HDR Inc. (2008); however, the HDR Inc. demand estimates included adjustments for conveyance efficiency (constant 80%) and irrigation efficiency (varied by county/crop, range 50-90%), so are higher than ETAW. Therefore, we applied adjustments factors of 80% for conveyance (the same value used by HDR Inc.) and 70% for irrigation efficiency (the middle of the range presented by HDR Inc.) to back-calculate ETAW values that are comparable to the California data.

The ETAW estimates have a relatively high degree of uncertainty due to the assumptions required and inherent complexity; therefore, we present these estimates to inform interpretation of streamflow trends, but do not formally use them to classify streamflow sites or use them in quantitative analyses (i.e., comparisons with streamflow). A significant limitation of the ETAW estimates is that they only encompass traditional legal agricultural crops grown on prime agricultural land in relatively large fields. Small irrigated pastures, gardens, and marijuana cultivation sites are not included. Another limitation is that these estimates do not include specific diversions to areas outside catchment boundaries (i.e., large out-of-basin transfers from the Eel and Trinity rivers).

Agricultural irrigation is the human activity with the largest, but not the only, consumptive use of water in the study area. An early version of this study (Asarian, 2015) estimated domestic indoor/outdoor water use based on U.S. Census data and assumptions of per-capita water use, but these estimates are not included in this article due to their high uncertainty. Other uses including industrial, thermoelectric power (i.e., cooling for electronic power generation), livestock, aquaculture, and mining are also not included in this analysis. County-level estimates for 2005 for these uses are available from the USGS (Kenny et al., 2009); however, there is no straightforward way to spatially downscale these estimates to subbasin or watershed scales.

Precipitation Data

Precipitation data were obtained from the PRISM Climate Group ([http://www.prism.oregonstate.edu,](http://www.prism.oregonstate.edu) accessed December 2012), which combines measured data from individual weather stations with an expert algorithm to produce a spatially continuous 4-km resolution precipitation grid for each month and year (Daly et al., 2002, 2008). A monthly precipitation time series for the area contributing to each streamflow site was calculated using ArcGIS Python scripts to clip each grid to the study area, convert each grid cell to a point feature, spatially join the points to catchment boundary polygons, and then calculate the mean value within each catchment.

Calculation of Runoff Coefficient

For each streamflow site and year, the runoff coefficient was calculated as total annual streamflow divided by total annual precipitation. Median values are presented in Table S2.

Calculation of "Precipitation-Adjusted Streamflow" to Account for Precipitation Variability

Precipitation is the source of streamflow and is therefore directly correlated with the amount of streamflow. Large yearly fluctuations in precipitation may obscure underlying trends in streamflow caused by changes in other climate factors aside from precipitation quantity (e.g., air temperature, snow vs. rain, wind, humidity, and coastal fog), vegetation, or water withdrawals. When the variation in streamflow caused by precipitation is removed, the underlying trends in streamflow can be observed (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

To avoid a complex model selection process for each site to predict monthly streamflow based on precipitation from various time periods, a simpler approach was utilized based on the API. The API is computed for each timestep as a weighted sum of current and previous precipitation. Precipitation in the current period is assigned full weight, and each preceding period is assigned a progressively lower weight. The API is a proxy for soil moisture and has been used to predict both storm flow (Fedora and Beschta, 1989) and base flow (Reid and Lewis, 2011). Due to the availability of monthly precipitation data, in this article, the API is calculated on a monthly timestep rather than the conventional daily timestep. At sites dominated by surface runoff, API was calculated for each site at a monthly timestep by combining the precipitation in the given month with a weighted sum of precipitation in the preceding 11 months as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{API}_i &= (P_i) + (P_{i-1})(k^1) + (P_{i-2})(k^2) \\ &+ (P_{i-3})(k^3) + \dots + (P_{i-11})(k^{11}) \end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

where API_i is the API for month i in units of cm, P_i is precipitation for month i in units of cm, and k is a dimensionless recession coefficient ranging from 0 to 1 which is specific to the gage and month. At groundwater-dominated sites, the API formula was identical except that 36-month precipitation was used to account for multiyear memory (Mayer and Naman, 2011) in those systems. A recession coefficient (k) was calibrated separately for each site and month by maximizing Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between monthly average streamflow and API. Details about calibration, recession coefficients, and correlation coefficients are provided in the Supporting Information.

A LOESS regression curve (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002) was then fit to the scatterplot of monthly streamflow vs. API, and the error residuals were calculated for each year as observed minus predicted (e.g., Figure 2). These residuals represent the variability in streamflow due to factors other than precipitation and are referred to as precipitation-adjusted streamflow (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

FIGURE 2. Relationship between Streamflow and Antecedent Precipitation Index (API) at an Example Site (South Fork Eel River at Miranda Gage #11476500) for the Month of September.

Long-Term Trends in Precipitation, Streamflow, and Precipitation-Adjusted Streamflow

Long-term trends in precipitation (monthly and annual), streamflow magnitude (monthly average; annual average; and minimum 7-day, 30-day, and 90 day average), streamflow timing (date of water year on which center timing of streamflow [CT] occurs and date of calendar year on which minimum 7-day, 30 day, and 90-day average streamflow occurs), runoff coefficient, and precipitation-adjusted streamflow were analyzed using the nonparametric Mann-Kendall test (Yue et al., 2002a), which is commonly used for assessing hydrologic trends (see Introduction section above). The Mann-Kendall test assumes a lack of serial correlation (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002). Pre-whitening is sometimes used to remove the effect of serial correlation, but this can reduce trend detection power (Yue $et al., 2002b; Bayazit and Onöz, 2007; Sonali and$ Nagesh Kumar, 2013) and sometimes cause incorrect results (Sang et al., 2014). Bayazit and Onöz (2007) found that pre-whitening is not necessary for large sample sizes $(≥50)$ and trend high slopes $(≥0.01)$. Given our relatively high sample sizes, we did not prewhiten. We acknowledge that serial correlation could affect the statistical significance values we report.

A p-value of 0.10 was used as the statistical significance threshold for determining whether a trend existed for a given parameter and site, following the convention used in similar previous analyses (Clark, 2010; Madej, 2011; Chang et al., 2012). Many figures also differentiate which results yielded p-values of

<0.05. Given the 0.10 threshold and the 67 hypothesis tests (one per site) performed on each parameter, the family-wise error rate (i.e., the chance of at least one Type I error [false detection of a nonexistent trend]) is very high across the entire study area. Spatial autocorrelation between sites also likely present and should be considered when making inferences about region-wide trends. However, our purpose was not to make formal statistical inferences about unmonitored sites within the study area, but rather to focus on the existence of trends in the gaged watersheds only, with a secondary purpose of understanding the factors that contribute to those trends (e.g., geology, elevation, precipitation quantity, other climate variables, regulation by dams, and other human influences). The results should thus be interpreted as being descriptive rather than inferential when considered in aggregate across the study area.

Tests were performed in R 2.15.2 (R Core Team, 2012) using the WQ package (Jassby and Cloern, 2012). To facilitate comparison of trends between sites, trend tests were run on the 60-year period 1953-2012, with some gaps allowed. Following guidance from Helsel and Hirsch (2002), sites that did not have at least 20% coverage (four years) in each third (1953-1972, 1973-1992, and 1993-2012) of the 60-year period were excluded. Trend slopes were calculated using the nonparametric Sen slope estimator method (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

A statistically significant trend in precipitationadjusted streamflow indicates a shift over time in the relationship between streamflow and precipitation (e.g., that monthly streamflows in recent years are lower or higher than those in previous years with similar precipitation). When significant trends were present for streamflow and precipitation-adjusted streamflow, the Sen slope of the precipitation-adjusted streamflow trend was divided by the Sen slope of the streamflow trend to yield the percent of the streamflow trend not due to precipitation. In a few cases, the slope of the precipitation-adjusted streamflow trend was greater than the slope of the streamflow trend, resulting in values exceeding 100% which should be interpreted to mean that the streamflow decline was due entirely to factors other than precipitation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

API Model to Calculate Precipitation-Adjusted **Streamflow**

Optimal recession coefficients followed expected patterns reflecting physical processes according to runoff type classification (i.e., highest at groundwater-dominated basins and lowest at surface-dominated rain basins) and month (i.e., higher in summer than winter and spring at surface-dominated rain basins; Figure S4). Although the streamflow vs. API model was originally designed for rain-dominated basins, it also performed well at snow- and groundwater-dominated basins. Spearman's correlation coefficients were highest at rain-dominated basins and lowest at groundwater-dominated sites, reflecting more complex hydrology in the latter category (Figure S5). As expected, Spearman's correlation coefficients were lower at regulated sites than unregulated sites (Figure S5).

Long-Term Trends in Precipitation

Of the 67 sites evaluated in this study, very few (9%) had significant decreases in annual precipitation (Figure 3a). However, all sites had at least one month with a precipitation trend (Figure S6). The most geographically widespread trend was a decrease in September precipitation, which occurred at 70% of sites (Figures 3d and 4), confirming results previously reported by Madej (2011) for the western portion of the study area. Other precipitation trends were more geographically limited and included: decreased August precipitation primarily in the Eel and Trinity Basins in the southeastern portion of the study area, increased April precipitation in the Upper Rogue Basins and the Upper Klamath Basin, increased May through July precipitation in parts of the Eel River Basin and nearby coastal areas (the absolute amount of precipitation in these months is still very low relative to the rest of the year), and decreased January precipitation in the Middle Klamath Basin as well as parts of the Eel River Basin and the upper Illinois River (Figure S6). September was the only month with a geographically widespread decreasing trend in API (data not shown), apparently from decreased September precipitation rather than prior months.

Long-Term Trends in Annual Streamflow

Annual streamflows declined at 24% of sites, primarily in groundwater-dominated sites in the Upper Klamath Basin (Figure 3b) where Mayer and Naman (2011) had previously documented declining streamflow, exceeding the 9% of sites that had declining annual precipitation (Figure 3a). Only one site, the regulated Trinity River at Lewiston, showed significant increases in annual flow due to reduced water diversions as part of a river restoration program (USFWS and HVT, 1999; Beechie et al., 2014).

ASARIAN AND WALKER

FIGURE 3. Map Showing Trends in (a) Annual Precipitation, (b) Annual Streamflow, (c) Runoff Coefficient, and (d) September Precipitation for Catchments Contributing to Streamflow Sites, 1953-2012.

This was also one of only four sites (6%) with an increasing runoff coefficient. In contrast, 46% of sites had declining runoff coefficients (Figure 3c). The cause of the declining trends in runoff coefficients is unclear. Potential explanations include some combination of increased vegetation/forest evapotranspiration (from climate change and/or change in forest stand structure/composition) and/or increased water diversions.

Long-Term Trends in Monthly Streamflow

Seasonal streamflow trends varied by month and appeared to be affected by hydrologic regulation as well as runoff type (i.e., geology and elevation; Figure 5). Overall, the percent of site months with significant flow decreases substantially outnumbered those with significant increases (Figures 4b, 5, and 6). At unregulated and regulated sites, declining flow trends vastly outnumbered increasing flow trends for October through April (Figures 5 and 6). For the remainder of year (May through September),

regulated and unregulated sites showed opposing patterns with increasing trends outnumbering decreasing trends at regulated sites and decreasing trends outnumbering increasing trends at unregulated sites (Figures 5 and 6). At some regulated sites (Rogue and Applegate rivers), increased May through October flows resulted from dam construction partway through the 1953-2012 trend period, while in others (Eel and Trinity rivers), instream releases from reservoirs were increased to benefit coldwater anadromous fisheries in recent decades (USFWS and HVT, 1999; NMFS 2002). At regulated sites, the month with the largest percentage of declining flows was February (69%) (Figures 5 and 6). September flows declined at 73% of the unregulated sites, more than in any other month (Figures 5 and 6), likely due in part to decreased precipitation in that month (Figures 3d and 4a), although the relative magnitude of the declines were greater in November than September (Figure 7). Groundwater-dominated sites had more months with declining streamflow than other runoff types (Figure 5). No unregulated rain-dominated site had a significant increase in streamflow in any month

FIGURE 4. Percent of Streamflow Sites with Significant Increasing or Decreasing Trends in (a) Precipitation, (b) Streamflow, and (c) Precipitation-Adjusted Streamflow.

(Figure 5). The monthly patterns in the relative magnitude of increases/decreases (Figure 7) largely matched those of the percent of increasing/decreasing trends. The absolute magnitude of increases/decreases were greatest in November through April (Figure S8), the months when streamflows are higher. For the 14 gages analyzed both here and by Sawaske and Freyberg (2014), the presence/absence and direction of trends in streamflow during the summer months match closely.

Long-Term Trends in 7-day, 30-day, and 90-day **Streamflow**

Trends in the magnitude of minimum 7-day, 30-day, and 90-day average low flows were similar to each other and were highly affected by hydrologic regulation (Figure S7). Approximately 48-54% of unregulated sites showed significant declines, while only 2-4% of these sites showed increases. In contrast, 44-48% of regulated sites increased, while 7-15% decreased. Significant trends in the timing of the minimum 7-day, 30-day, and 90-day average flows were largely confined to regulated sites, with those flows occurring later in the calendar year at 48-56% of regulated sites, but only 4-10% of unregulated sites. For regulated sites where low flows occurred significantly later, the median delay normalized across the entire 60-year trend period was 41 days for the 7-day average low flow, 30 days for the 30-day average low flow, and 38 days for the 90-day average low flow (data not shown).

Long-Term Trends in Center of Timing of Streamflow

The center of timing of streamflow (CT, the date by which 50% of the runoff in a hydrologic year has occurred) occurred significantly later at 35% of unregulated sites and 74% of regulated sites, compared to only one site occurring earlier (Figure S7). This shift toward later runoff, which occurred at sites dominated by surface runoff (not groundwater), matches regional trends of later runoff in rain-dominated basins of the

FIGURE 5. Percent of Streamflow Sites with Significant Increasing or Decreasing Trends in (a) Monthly Streamflow and (b) Precipitation-Adjusted Streamflow, Grouped by Runoff Type.

Pacific Coast of the U.S. (Stewart et al., 2005; Fritze et al., 2011). Two of six snowmelt-dominated sites (Scott River and Williamson River near Klamath Agency) also had later runoff, contrary to trends detected in some previous analyses (Regonda *et al.*, 2005; Hidalgo et al., 2009) that found earlier runoff in other areas of the western U.S. (outside our study area) in response to climate warming causing earlier snowmelt and precipitation form shifting from snow to rain. Chang et al. (2012) detected very few significant trends in CT in unregulated streams in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and western Montana for the years 1958-2008. Our results suggest that increased precipitation during the spring months (Figure 4a) has partially offset the effects of climate warming on spring runoff timing; however, it is uncertain whether increased spring precipitation will continue to occur.

Long-Term Trends in Precipitation-Adjusted **Streamflow**

Trends in precipitation-adjusted streamflow varied by month and degree of hydrologic regulation (Figures 4c, 5, and 8). Precipitation-adjusted streamflows declined significantly in at least one of the summer (July-September) months at 35 of 67 sites. Decreasing trends substantially outnumbered increasing trends for most months except June through September at regulated sites and January and March at unregulated sites (Figure 5). The months with highest percentage of unregulated sites with declining trends were July through November (40-58%). There were a greater percentage of site months with significant trends for precipitation-adjusted streamflow than for streamflow (Figures 4 and 5), likely because accounting

FIGURE 6. Trends in Mean Monthly Streamflow at Streamflow Sites, 1953-2012.

FIGURE 7. Relative Magnitude of Trends in Monthly (a) Streamflow and (b) Precipitation-Adjusted Streamflow, Grouped by Regulated/ Unregulated Streams and Runoff Type. Y-axis is cropped for clarity, eliminating some outliers.

for precipitation reduces interannual variation that can obscure trends. As with streamflow, the percent of sites with declining precipitation-adjusted streamflow was greater for groundwater-dominated sites than other runoff types (Figure 5). In September at unregulated rainfall-dominated sites, the percent of sites with a declining trend (Figure 5), and the median trend magnitude (Figure 7), was smaller for precipitation-adjusted streamflow than for streamflow, coincident with declining September precipitation (Figure 4). The presence/absence and direction of trends in precipitation-adjusted streamflow matches the trends in base-flow recession reported by Sawaske and Freyberg (2014) for 12 of 14 gages included in both analyses.

Comparing the Sen slope of the streamflow trend with the Sen slope of precipitation-adjusted streamflow trend allows quantification of the relative contribution of precipitation to the observed trend in streamflow. A spatial pattern is apparent for unregulated sites in the month of September, which had the most widespread streamflow declines, with factors other than precipitation accounting for over >75% of the streamflow decline at many sites in the Upper Klamath Basin and Upper Rogue Basin as well as the Scott River, with lesser but still substantial amounts (30-75%) at many sites in the southwest portion of the study area (Redwood Creek, Mattole River, and Eel River Basin; Figure 9).

Potential Explanations for Trends in Precipitation-Adjusted Streamflow

The data and methods we used do not allow for quantification of the relative impacts of the various factors contributing to the declines in precipitationadjusted streamflow, which include some combination of increased water withdrawals and/or increased vegetation/forest evapotranspiration. Increased vegetation/ forest evapotranspiration could be due to changes in climate (i.e., air temperature, wind, humidity, or precipitation shifting from snow to rain) and/or forest structure/composition. By carefully examining the trends that have occurred over the study period in watersheds with contrasting conditions and histories, we can develop hypotheses about causal mechanisms that could be tested with additional analyses.

The most pristine surface-runoff dominated watersheds within the study area (i.e., those with very few water diversions, relatively little history of timber harvest, and few roads), such as Elder Creek, Smith River, Salmon River, and tributaries to the Klamath River between Seiad Valley and Orleans, showed no decreases in summer precipitation-adjusted streamflow (Figure 8). This indicates that streamflow decreases at other sites were likely due more to increased human withdrawals and vegetation changes than to climate factors other than precipitation quantity; however, as

FIGURE 8. Trends in Precipitation-Adjusted Mean Monthly Streamflow at Streamflow Sites, 1953-2012.

FIGURE 9. Percent of Magnitude of Declining 1952-2012 September Streamflow Trends Explained by Factors Other than Precipitation. Only unregulated sites are shown due to a stronger linkage between streamflow and precipitation. Values exceeding 100% indicate that the streamflow decline was due entirely to factors other than precipitation.

climate warming continues in future years, even the most pristine watersheds will likely experience summer streamflow declines. For example, in five Pacific Northwest basins outside our study area, the average predicted decrease in streamflow per 1°C of annual warming was 31, 21, and 7% for July, August, and September, respectively (Vano et al., 2015).

Our results appear to support the hypothesis that water withdrawals are an important factor, but not the only one, contributing to the declining trends in precipitation-adjusted streamflows. There were few declines (though not none, e.g., Bull Creek and Rogue River Above/Below Prospect) in those watersheds with the least amount of diversions (e.g., those cited in the previous paragraph as well as Little River, South Fork Trinity, upper Trinity River, and accretions to the lower Trinity River). In the Scott River, where precipitation-adjusted summer streamflow declined (Figure 8), reductions in base flows since the 1970s have been attributed to increased groundwater pumping and decreased snow accumulation (Van Kirk and Naman, 2008). There is a general lack of data regarding small-scale domestic and agricultural withdrawals within the study area; however, Bauer et al. (2015) estimated water use for marijuana cultivation in four watersheds, including Redwood Creek near Blue Lake (gage 11481500) where our results show daily precipitation-adjusted streamflows for the month of September are declining at a rate of 166 m³/day/yr $(1.1\%$ of the $15,178 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ median daily September flow;

Figure 8) yielding a total reduction of $9,957 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$ over the 60-year study period. Estimated daily water use of marijuana plants in the watershed was 523 m³/day (Bauer et al., 2015), equivalent to only about 5% of the total reduction in streamflow, which suggests other factors are also contributing to declining precipitation-adjusted streamflow.

Several lines of evidence suggest that changes to watershed vegetation affected the trends in precipitation-adjusted summer streamflow. First, evapotranspiration typically accounts for more than 50% of annual precipitation in forested watersheds (Zhang et al., 2001), so relatively small changes could have large effects on low summer streamflows. Second, most forests within the study area have been harvested (NMFS, 2014), converting older forests first to clear-cuts which increase streamflow for a multiyear period immediately after harvest (Jones and Post, 2004; Jones et al., 2009) but then result in young regenerating stands with high evapotranspiration rates in the following decades (Moore *et al.*, 2004; Jassal et al., 2009; Creed et al., 2014). For example, Bull Creek's gage was installed in 1961 soon after most of the watershed had been clear-cut (Stillwater Sciences, 1999) and as the forest has regenerated due to protection within a state park, summer/fall precipitation-adjusted streamflows have declined despite having almost no diversions (Figure 8). Bull Creek is still degrading through massive aggradation that occurred during the 1955 and 1964 floods (Stillwater Sciences, 1999), making the streamflow declines even more remarkable because recovery from aggradation would be expected to increase summer streamflow due to less infiltration into subsurface sediments. An alternative explanation for Bull Creek's trends is declining coastal fog (see below). A contrasting example is provided by Little River, which also has nearly no diversions but where timber has been actively harvested throughout the gaged record and precipitation-adjusted summer streamflow did not decline in any month (Figure 8). Third, fire suppression has allowed Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) trees to encroach into prairies and oak woodlands (Engber et al., 2011). Encroachment is likely occurring across large portions of our study area, including the Mattole, South Fork Eel, Van Duzen River, and Redwood Creek watersheds where summer precipitationadjusted streamflow is declining (Figure 8); however, encroachment has not been well quantified except in the Bald Hills at the eastern edge of Redwood Creek where prairies were reduced by up to 44% between 1875 and 1998 (Fritschle, 2008) and the Little Bald Hills in the Smith River watershed where grassdominated areas decreased by approximately 80% from 1942 to 2009 (Sahara et al., 2015). Conversely, the Salmon River is the site with the greatest percent

of area burned in wildfires in recent decades and is also the only unregulated stream with increasing precipitation-adjusted streamflow for all three months July-September (Figure 8) as well as the only gage for which Sawaske and Freyberg (2014) reported a decreasing trend in the rate of base-flow recession.

Another factor that could explain declining precipitation-adjusted streamflow in Bull Creek is that summer fog along the California coast declined during the 20th Century (Johnstone and Dawson, 2010). Annual wood production in old-growth redwood (Sequoia sempervirens) trees on Bull Creek's alluvial flats (downstream of the gaging station) was higher from 1970 to present than any time since at least 1750, likely due in part to reduced fog/cloud cover and increased light availability (Sillett et al., 2013; Carroll et al., 2014). However, precipitation-adjusted streamflow in Little River, which also has redwoods and coastal fog influence, did not decline (Figure 8).

CONCLUSIONS

Not surprisingly, regulation by dams appeared to exert a strong influence on trends in streamflow and precipitation-adjusted streamflow. Reservoirs store winter and spring runoff, increasing summer water supplies and providing a source to supplement withdrawal of summer streamflow. Whether increasing summer streamflow trends occurred at regulated sites depended in part on the timing of dam construction relative to the trend period evaluated (increasing trend in Rogue and Applegate rivers) and instream flow requirements (increasing trends in Eel and Trinity rivers). In basins without surface water storage reservoirs, the only sources available for water withdrawals in summer are diversion of streamflow and extraction of groundwater (which is often connected to streamflow). As a result, summer streamflow declines were much more common at unregulated than at regulated sites.

September precipitation decreased across almost the entire study area, but our application of a model of the relationship between antecedent precipitation and streamflows indicated that precipitation explained only a small portion of the observed declines in streamflow in most months. The most pristine surface-runoff dominated watersheds within the study area showed no decreases in precipitationadjusted streamflow during the summer months, indicating that streamflow decreases at other sites were likely due to more increased human withdrawals and vegetation changes than to climate factors other than precipitation quantity. This is likely to change in the future as the increasing

temperatures will increase evapotranspiration and decrease streamflow (Vano et al., 2015).

Declining streamflows, which occurred primarily at unregulated sites in the summer and fall and regulated sites in the fall and winter, is a troubling indicator for the future of anadromous salmonid fisheries within the study area. Decreasing summer streamflow reduces the quality and quantity of pools available where juvenile fish can survive during the dry summer months (May and Lee, 2004). Declining fall flows could affect migration and spawning of adult salmonids, which use flow increases as migratory cues and a means by which to enter small streams (Shapovalov and Taft, 1954). The conventional approach to increasing summer water supply is construction of new dams and reservoirs. Dams have profound effects on river ecosystems, including impeding species migration and altering sediment dynamics (Ligon et al., 1995; Graf, 2006), hydrology (Magilligan and Nislow, 2005), and food webs (Power et al., 1996). Due to these effects, dams have been identified as a primary cause of declining salmon populations within the study area (Katz et al., 2013; NMFS, 2014); thus, construction of new dams is unlikely to be a successful strategy for increasing summer streamflow without causing other detrimental effects to aquatic ecosystems. As an alternative to dam-based water storage, a program to equip rural residences with tanks to store spring and winter runoff for summer use has reduced summer water withdrawals and resulted in measureable increases in summer low flows in the Mattole River at the south end of the study area (Schremmer, 2014). Another potential method for increasing summer flows is to reduce forest evapotranspiration by harvesting trees or burning (Bosch and Hewlett, 1982); however, the hydrologic effects of single treatments are transient, repeated treatments can cause sedimentation and flooding (Jones et al., 2009), and there are substantial obstacles to widespread implementation (Ziemer, 1987). A third approach for increasing summer flows is to increase the capacity of the landscape to store water by reconnecting floodplains and raising groundwater tables, including utilizing beavers (Castor canadensis, a mammal native to our study area; Lanman et al., 2013) and beaver dam analogs (Beechie et al., 2012; Pollock et al., 2014). Finally, another essential step toward increasing streamflow is to reduce consumption of water for human uses.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article: Table of major dams in the study area; details about the API model used to calculate precipitation-adjusted streamflow including the calibration process, recession coefficients, and correlation coefficients; maps showing trend results for additional parameters (monthly precipitation, magnitude/timing of low flows, and center of timing); and charts showing absolute magnitude of trends in monthly streamflow and precipitationadjusted streamflow.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded in part by NOAA Fisheries. Jan Derksen and Paul Trichilo of Kier Associates assisted with GIS data summaries. Input on analytical approach and/or presentation was provided by NOAA Fisheries staff (Seth Naman, Justin Ly, Zane Ruddy, Margaret Tauzer, Jamie Montesi, Julie Weeder, and Clarence Hostler), Tim Mayer of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mary Ann Madej of the U.S. Geological Survey, Darren Mierau of CalTrout, and Brad Job of U.S. Bureau of Land Management. Thomas Lyle reviewed the draft of this article.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ahn, K.-H. and V. Merwade, 2014. Quantifying the Relative Impact of Climate and Human Activities on Streamflow. Journal of Hydrology 515:257-266, DOI: [10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.04.062.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.04.062)
- Arnold, J.G., R. Srinivasan, R.S. Muttiah, and J.R. Williams, 1998. Large Area Hydrologic Modeling and Assessment Part I: Model Development. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 34:73-89, DOI: [10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05961.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05961.x)
- Asarian, E., 2015. Assessment of Altered Hydrologic Function, Dams, and Diversions within the Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast Evolutionarily Significant Unit of Coho Salmon, Version 2. Prepared for NOAA Fisheries, Arcata, California. 73 pp. + appendices. [https://archive.org/details/soncc_](https://archive.org/details/soncc_hydrologic_report_20151002_revised) [hydrologic_report_20151002_revised,](https://archive.org/details/soncc_hydrologic_report_20151002_revised) accessed October 2015.
- Barnett, T.P., D.W. Pierce, H.G. Hidalgo, C. Bonfils, B.D. Santer, T. Das, G. Bala, A.W. Wood, T. Nozawa, A.A. Mirin, D.R. Cayan, and M.D. Dettinger, 2008. Human-Induced Changes in the Hydrology of the Western United States. Science 319:1080- 1083, DOI: [10.1126/science.1152538](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1152538).
- Bauer, S., J. Olson, A. Cockrill, M. van Hattem, L. Miller, M. Tauzer, and G. Leppig, 2015. Impacts of Surface Water Diversions for Marijuana Cultivation on Aquatic Habitat in Four Northwestern California Watersheds. PLoS ONE 10:e0120016, DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0120016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120016).
- Bayazit, M. and B. Onöz, 2007. To Prewhiten or Not to Prewhiten in Trend Analysis? Hydrological Sciences Journal 52:611-624, DOI: [10.1623/hysj.52.4.611.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1623/hysj.52.4.611)
- Beechie, T., H. Imaki, J. Greene, A. Wade, H. Wu, G. Pess, P. Roni, J. Kimball, J. Stanford, P. Kiffney, and N. Mantua, 2012. Restoring Salmon Habitat for a Changing Climate. River Research and Applications 29:939-960, DOI: [10.1002/rra.2590](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rra.2590).
- Beechie, T.J., G.R. Pess, H. Imaki, A. Martin, J. Alvarez, and D.H. Goodman, 2014. Comparison of Potential Increases in Juvenile Salmonid Rearing Habitat Capacity among Alternative Restoration Scenarios, Trinity River, California. Restoration Ecology 23:75-84, DOI: [10.1111/rec.12131](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/rec.12131).
- Berghuijs, W.R., R.A. Woods, and M. Hrachowitz, 2014. A Precipitation Shift from Snow towards Rain Leads to a Decrease in

Streamflow. Nature Climate Change 4:583-586, DOI: [10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2246) [nclimate2246.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2246)

- Booth, D.B. and C.R. Jackson, 1997. Urbanization of Aquatic Systems: Degradation Thresholds, Stormwater Detection, and the Limits of Mitigation. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 33:1077-1090, DOI: [10.1111/j.1752-1688.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1997.tb04126.x) [1997.tb04126.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1997.tb04126.x).
- Bosch, J.M. and J.D. Hewlett, 1982. A Review of Catchment Experiments to Determine the Effect of Vegetation Changes on Water Yield and Evapotranspiration. Journal of Hydrology 55:3-23, DOI: [10.1016/0022-1694\(82\)90117-2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(82)90117-2)
- Brown, T.C., R. Foti, and J.A. Ramirez, 2013. Projected Freshwater Withdrawals in the United States under a Changing Climate: Projected Future Water Use in the United States. Water Resources Research 49:1259-1276, DOI: [10.1002/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20076) [wrcr.20076](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20076).
- Carah, J.K., J.K. Howard, S.E. Thompson, A.G.S. Gianotti, S.D. Bauer, S.M. Carlson, D.N. Dralle, M.W. Gabriel, L.L. Hulette, B.J. Johnson, C.A. Knight, S.J. Kupferberg, S.L. Martin, R.L. Naylor, and M.E. Power, 2015. High Time for Conservation: Adding the Environment to the Debate on Marijuana Liberalization. BioScience 65(8):822-829, DOI: [10.1093/biosci/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biv083) [biv083.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biv083)
- Carroll, A.L., S.C. Sillett, and R.D. Kramer, 2014. Millennium-Scale Crossdating and Inter-Annual Climate Sensitivities of Standing California Redwoods. PLoS ONE 9:e102545, DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0102545](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102545).
- Chang, H., I.-W. Jung, M. Steele, and M. Gannett, 2012. Spatial Patterns of March and September Streamflow Trends in Pacific Northwest Streams, 1958-2008. Geographical Analysis 44:177- 201, DOI: [10.1111/j.1538-4632.2012.00847.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4632.2012.00847.x)
- Clark, G.M., 2010. Changes in Patterns of Streamflow from Unregulated Watersheds in Idaho, Western Wyoming, and Northern Nevada. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 46:486-497, DOI: [10.1111/j.1752-1688.2009.00416.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2009.00416.x).
- Creed, I.F., A.T. Spargo, J.A. Jones, J.M. Buttle, M.B. Adams, F.D. Beall, E.G. Booth, J.L. Campbell, D. Clow, K. Elder, M.B. Green, N.B. Grimm, C. Miniat, P. Ramlal, A. Saha, S. Sebestyen, D. Spittlehouse, S. Sterling, M.W. Williams, R. Winkler, and H. Yao, 2014. Changing Forest Water Yields in Response to Climate Warming: Results from Long-Term Experimental Watershed Sites across North America. Global Change Biology 20:3191-3208, DOI: [10.1111/gcb.12615.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12615)
- Daly, C., M. Halbleib, J.I. Smith, W.P. Gibson, M.K. Doggett, G.H. Taylor, J. Curtis, and P.P. Pasteris, 2008. Physiographically Sensitive Mapping of Climatological Temperature and Precipitation across the Conterminous United States. International Journal of Climatology 28:2031-2064, DOI: [10.1002/joc.1688](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.1688).
- Daly, C., G. Taylor, T. Kittel, D. Schimel, and A. McNab, 2002. Development of a 103-Year High-Resolution Climate Data Set for the Conterminous United States. [ftp://ocid.nacse.org/pub/](ftp://ocid.nacse.org/pub/prism/docs/noaa02-finalreport-daly.pdf) [prism/docs/noaa02-finalreport-daly.pdf.](ftp://ocid.nacse.org/pub/prism/docs/noaa02-finalreport-daly.pdf)
- Engber, E.A., J.M. Varner, III, L.A. Arguello, and N.G. Sugihara, 2011. The Effects of Conifer Encroachment and Overstory Structure on Fuels and Fire in an Oak Woodland Landscape. Fire Ecology 7:32-50, DOI: [10.4996/fireecology.0702032](http://dx.doi.org/10.4996/fireecology.0702032).
- Falcone, J.A., 2011. GAGES-II: Geospatial Attributes of Gages for Evaluating Streamflow. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia. [http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/70046617,](http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/70046617) accessed December 2012.
- Falcone, J.A., D.M. Carlisle, D.M. Wolock, and M.R. Meador, 2010. GAGES: A Stream Gage Database for Evaluating Natural and Altered Flow Conditions in the Conterminous United States. Ecology 91:621, DOI: [10.1890/09-0889.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/09-0889.1).
- Fedora, M.A. and R.L. Beschta, 1989. Storm Runoff Simulation Using an Antecedent Precipitation Index (API) Model. Journal of Hydrology 112:121-133, DOI: [10.1016/0022-1694\(89\)90184-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(89)90184-4)
- Fretwell, C.B., J. D, J.S. Williams, and P.J. Redman, 1996. National Water Summary on Wetland Resources. U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2425, 431 pp. [http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publi](http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wsp2425)[cation/wsp2425,](http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wsp2425) accessed February 2015.
- Fritschle, J.A., 2008. Reconstructing Historic Ecotones Using the Public Land Survey: The Lost Prairies of Redwood National Park. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 98:24- 39, DOI: [10.1080/00045600701734018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00045600701734018)
- Fritze, H., I.T. Stewart, and E. Pebesma, 2011. Shifts in Western North American Snowmelt Runoff Regimes for the Recent Warm Decades. Journal of Hydrometeorology 12:989-1006, DOI: [10.1175/2011JHM1360.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2011JHM1360.1).
- Fry, J., G. Xian, S. Jin, J. Dewitz, C. Homer, L. Yang, C. Barnes, N. Herold, and J. Wickham, 2011. Completion of the 2006 National Land Cover Database for the Conterminous United States. Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing 77 (9):858-864. [http://www.mrlc.gov/downloadfile2.php?file=Septem](http://www.mrlc.gov/downloadfile2.php?file=September2011PERS.pdf) [ber2011PERS.pdf](http://www.mrlc.gov/downloadfile2.php?file=September2011PERS.pdf), accessed February 2015.
- Georgakakos, A., P. Fleming, M. Dettinger, C. Peters-Lidard, T.C. Richmond, K. Reckhow, K. White, and D. Yates, 2014. Water Resources. In: Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment, J.M. Melillo, T.C. Richmond, and G.W. Yohe (Editors). U.S. Global Change Research Program, pp. 69-112, DOI: [10.7930/J0G44N6T.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7930/J0G44N6T)
- Godsey, S.E., J.W. Kirchner, and C.L. Tague, 2014. Effects of Changes in Winter Snowpacks on Summer Low Flows: Case Studies in the Sierra Nevada, California, USA. Hydrological Processes 28:5048-5064, DOI: [10.1002/hyp.9943.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9943)
- Goslin, M., 2005. Creating a Comprehensive Dam Dataset for Assessing Anadromous Fish Passage in California. US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Santa Cruz Laboratory. [http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/](http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/noaa_documents/NMFS/SWFSC/TM_NMFS_SWFSC/NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-376.pdf) [noaa_documents/NMFS/SWFSC/TM_NMFS_SWFSC/NOAA-TM-](http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/noaa_documents/NMFS/SWFSC/TM_NMFS_SWFSC/NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-376.pdf)[NMFS-SWFSC-376.pdf,](http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/noaa_documents/NMFS/SWFSC/TM_NMFS_SWFSC/NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-376.pdf) accessed December 2012.
- Graf, W.L., 2006. Downstream Hydrologic and Geomorphic Effects of Large Dams on American Rivers. Geomorphology 79:336-360, DOI: [10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.06.022](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.06.022).
- HDR Inc., 2008. Statewide Water Needs Assessment Oregon Water Supply and Conservation Initiative. Prepared for Oregon Water Resources Department by HDR. [http://www.oregon.gov/owrd/](http://www.oregon.gov/owrd/law/docs/owsci/owrd_demand_assessment_report_final_september_2008.pdf) [law/docs/owsci/owrd_demand_assessment_report_final_september](http://www.oregon.gov/owrd/law/docs/owsci/owrd_demand_assessment_report_final_september_2008.pdf) [_2008.pdf,](http://www.oregon.gov/owrd/law/docs/owsci/owrd_demand_assessment_report_final_september_2008.pdf) accessed February 2013.
- Helsel, D.R. and R.M. Hirsch, 2002. Statistical Methods in Water Resources. Techniques of Water Resources Investigations, Book 4, Chapter A3. U.S. Geological Survey, 522 pp. [http://pubs.er.](http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/twri04A3) [usgs.gov/publication/twri04A3](http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/twri04A3), accessed December 2012.
- Hidalgo, H.G., T. Das, M.D. Dettinger, D.R. Cayan, D.W. Pierce, T.P. Barnett, G. Bala, A. Mirin, A.W. Wood, and C. Bonfils, 2009. Detection and Attribution of Streamflow Timing Changes to Climate Change in the Western United States. Journal of Climate 22:3838-3855, DOI: [10.1175/2009JCLI2470.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2470.1)
- Huntington, J.L. and R.G. Niswonger, 2012. Role of Surface-Water and Groundwater Interactions on Projected Summertime Streamflow in Snow Dominated Regions: An Integrated Modeling Approach. Water Resources Research 48:W11524, DOI: [10.1029/2012WR012319](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012WR012319).
- Huo, Z., S. Feng, S. Kang, W. Li, and S. Chen, 2008. Effect of Climate Changes and Water-Related Human Activities on Annual Stream Flows of the Shiyang River Basin in Arid North-West China. Hydrological Processes 22:3155-3167, DOI: [10.1002/hyp.6900](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6900).
- James, A., 1999. Time and the Persistence of Alluvium: River Engineering, Fluvial Geomorphology, and Mining Sediment in California. Geomorphology 31:265-290, DOI: [10.1016/S0169-555X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(99)00084-7) [\(99\)00084-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(99)00084-7).
- Jassal, R.S., T.A. Black, D.L. Spittlehouse, C. Brümmer, and Z. Nesic, 2009. Evapotranspiration and Water Use Efficiency in

Different-Aged Pacific Northwest Douglas-Fir Stands. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 149:1168-1178.

- Jassby, A.D. and J.E. Cloern, 2012. wq: Some Tools for Exploring Water Quality Monitoring Data. R Package Version 0.3-8. [http://](http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/wq/index.html) cran.r-project.org/web/packages/wq/index.html, accessed December 2012.
- Jiang, S., L. Ren, B. Yong, V.P. Singh, X. Yang, and F. Yuan, 2011. Quantifying the Effects of Climate Variability and Human Activities on Runoff from the Laohahe Basin in Northern China Using Three Different Methods. Hydrological Processes 25:2492- 2505, DOI: [10.1002/hyp.8002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.8002).
- Johnstone, J.A. and T.E. Dawson, 2010. Climatic Context and Ecological Implications of Summer Fog Decline in the Coast Redwood Region. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 107:4533-4538, DOI: [10.1073/pnas.0915062107.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0915062107)
- Jones, J.A., G.L. Achterman, L.A. Augustine, I.F. Creed, P.F. Ffolliott, L. MacDonald, and B.C. Wemple, 2009. Hydrologic Effects of a Changing Forested Landscape-Challenges for the Hydrological Sciences. Hydrological Processes 23:2699-2704, DOI: [10.1002/hyp.7404](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7404).
- Jones, J.A. and D.A. Post, 2004. Seasonal and Successional Streamflow Response to Forest Cutting and Regrowth in the Northwest and Eastern United States. Water Resources Research 40: W05203, DOI: [10.1029/2003WR002952](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003WR002952).
- Jung, I.W., H. Chang, and J. Risley, 2013. Effects of Runoff Sensitivity and Catchment Characteristics on Regional Actual Evapotranspiration Trends in the Conterminous US. Environmental Research Letters 8:044002, DOI: [10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044002).
- Katul, G.G., R. Oren, S. Manzoni, C. Higgins, and M.B. Parlange, 2012. Evapotranspiration: A Process Driving Mass Transport and Energy Exchange in the Soil-Plant-Atmosphere-Climate System. Reviews of Geophysics 50:RG3002, DOI: [10.1029/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000366) [2011RG000366.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000366)
- Katz, J., P.B. Moyle, R.M. Quiñones, J. Israel, and S. Purdy, 2013. Impending Extinction of Salmon, Steelhead, and Trout (Salmonidae) in California. Environmental Biology of Fishes 96:1169-1186, DOI: [10.1007/s10641-012-9974-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-012-9974-8)
- Kenny, J.F., N.L. Barber, S.S. Hutson, K.S. Linsey, J.K. Lovelace, and M.A. Maupin, 2009. Estimated Use of Water in the United States in 2005. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1344, 52 pp. [http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/cir1344,](http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/cir1344) accessed February 2013.
- Kim, J.-S. and S. Jain, 2010. High-Resolution Streamflow Trend Analysis Applicable to Annual Decision Calendars: A Western United States Case Study. Climatic Change 102:699-707, DOI: [10.1007/s10584-010-9933-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-010-9933-3).
- Lanman, C.W., K. Lundquist, H. Perryman, J.E. Asarian, B. Dolman, R.B. Lanman, and M.M. Pollock, 2013. The Historical Range of Beaver (Castor canadensis) in Coastal California: An Updated Review of the Evidence. California Fish and Game 99:193-221.
- Ligon, F.K., W.E. Dietrich, and W.J. Trush, 1995. Downstream Ecological Effects of Dams. BioScience 45:183-192, DOI: [10.2307/1312557.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1312557)
- Lins, H.F., 2012. USGS Hydro-Climatic Data Network 2009 (HCDN-2009). United States Geological Survey. [http://](http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3047/) pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3047/, accessed August 2014.
- Lisle, T.E., 1982. Effects of Aggradation and Degradation on Riffle-Pool Morphology in Natural Gravel Channels, Northwestern California. Water Resources Research 18:1643-1651.
- Madej, M.A., 2011. Analysis of Trends in Climate, Streamflow, and Stream Temperature in North Coastal California. In: Observing, Studying, and Managing for Change-Proceedings of the Fourth Interagency Conference on Research in the Watersheds. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2011-5169, C.N. Medley, G. Patterson, and M.J. Parker (Editors, 202 pp. <http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/sir20115169>, accessed December 2012.
- Madej, M.A. and V. Ozaki, 2009. Persistence of Effects of High Sediment Loading in a Salmon-Bearing River, Northern California. Geological Society of America Special Papers. Geological Society of America, pp. 43-55.
- Magilligan, F.J. and K.H. Nislow, 2005. Changes in Hydrologic Regime by Dams. Geomorphology 71:61-78, DOI: [10.1016/j.geo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.08.017)[morph.2004.08.017.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.08.017)
- May, C.L. and D.C. Lee, 2004. The Relationships among In-Channel Sediment Storage, Pool Depth, and Summer Survival of Juvenile Salmonids in Oregon Coast Range Streams. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 24:761-774, DOI: [10.1577/M03-073.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1577/M03-073.1).
- Mayer, T.D. and S.W. Naman, 2011. Streamflow Response to Climate as Influenced by Geology and Elevation. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 47:724-738, DOI: [10.1111/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00537.x) [j.1752-1688.2011.00537.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00537.x).
- Moore, G.W., B.J. Bond, J.A. Jones, N. Phillips, and F.C. Meinzer, 2004. Structural and Compositional Controls on Transpiration in 40- and 450-Year-Old Riparian Forests in Western Oregon, USA. Tree Physiology 24:481-491, DOI: [10.1093/treephys/24.5.481](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/treephys/24.5.481).
- Moyle, P.B., J.D. Kiernan, P.K. Crain, and R.M. Quiñones, 2013. Climate Change Vulnerability of Native and Alien Freshwater Fishes of California: A Systematic Assessment Approach. PLoS ONE 8:e63883, DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0063883](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063883).
- Naiman, R.J., C.A. Johnston, and J.C. Kelley, 1988. Alteration of North American Streams by Beaver. BioScience 38:753-762.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service), 2002. Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation, Biological Opinion for the Proposed License Amendment for the Potter Valley Project. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Project Number 77-110. National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Region, Long Beach, California, 135 pp. [http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/file_lis](http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/file_list.asp?accession_num=20021202-0257)[t.asp?accession_num=20021202-0257,](http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/file_list.asp?accession_num=20021202-0257) accessed February 2015.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service), 2014. Final Recovery Plan for the Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast Evolutionarily Significant Unit of Coho Salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch). National Marine Fisheries Service, Arcata, California. [http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/recovery/plans/cohosalmon_soncc.pdf,](http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/recovery/plans/cohosalmon_soncc.pdf) accessed February 2015.
- Patil, S.D., P.J. Wigington, S.G. Leibowitz, and R.L. Comeleo, 2014. Use of Hydrologic Landscape Classification to Diagnose Streamflow Predictability in Oregon. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 50:762-776, DOI: [10.1111/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12143) [jawr.12143](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12143).
- Patterson, L.A., B. Lutz, and M.W. Doyle, 2012. Streamflow Changes in the South Atlantic, United States During the Midand Late 20th Century. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 48:1126-1138, DOI: [10.1111/j.1752-1688.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2012.00674.x) [2012.00674.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2012.00674.x)
- Pavelsky, T.M. and L.C. Smith, 2006. Intercomparison of Four Global Precipitation Data Sets and Their Correlation with Increased Eurasian River Discharge to the Arctic Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 111:D21112, DOI: [10.1029/2006JD007230.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007230)
- Poff, L.N., 1996. A Hydrogeography of Unregulated Streams in the United States and an Examination of Scale-Dependence in Some Hydrological Descriptors. Freshwater Biology 36:71-79.
- Pollock, M.M., T.J. Beechie, J.M. Wheaton, C.E. Jordan, N. Bouwes, N. Weber, and C. Volk, 2014. Using Beaver Dams to Restore Incised Stream Ecosystems. BioScience 64:279-290, DOI: [10.1093/biosci/biu036.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biu036)
- Power, M.E., W.E. Dietrich, and J.C. Finlay, 1996. Dams and Downstream Aquatic Biodiversity: Potential Food Web Consequences of Hydrologic and Geomorphic Change. Environmental Management 20:887-895, DOI: [10.1007/BF01205969.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01205969)
- R Core Team, 2012. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,

Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0. [http://www.R-project.org,](http://www.R-project.org) accessed February 2015.

- Regonda, S.K., B. Rajagopalan, M. Clark, and J. Pitlick, 2005. Seasonal Cycle Shifts in Hydroclimatology over the Western United States. Journal of Climate 18:372-384, DOI: [10.1175/JCLI-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-3272.1)[3272.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-3272.1)
- Reid, L. and J. Lewis, 2011. Evaluating Cumulative Effects of Logging and Potential Climate Change on Dry-Season Flow in a Coast Redwood Forest. The Fourth Interagency Conference on Research in the Watersheds, 26-30 September 2011, Fairbanks, Alaska, 6 pp. [http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/38977,](http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/38977) accessed February 2015.
- Reidy Liermann, C.A., J.D. Olden, T.J. Beechie, M.J. Kennard, P.B. Skidmore, C.P. Konrad, and H. Imaki, 2012. Hydrogeomorphic Classification of Washington State Rivers to Support Emerging Environmental Flow Management Strategies. River Research and Applications 28:1340-1358, DOI: [10.1002/rra.1541.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rra.1541)
- Sahara, A.E., D.A. Sarr, R.W. Van Kirk, and E.S. Jules, 2015. Quantifying Habitat Loss: Assessing Tree Encroachment into a Serpentine Savanna Using Dendroecology and Remote Sensing. Forest Ecology and Management 340:9-21, DOI: [10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.12.019) [foreco.2014.12.019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.12.019).
- Sang, Y.-F., Z. Wang, and C. Liu, 2014. Comparison of the MK Test and EMD Method for Trend Identification in Hydrological Time Series. Journal of Hydrology 510:293-298, DOI: [10.1016/j.jhy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.12.039)[drol.2013.12.039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.12.039).
- Sawaske, S.R. and D.L. Freyberg, 2014. An Analysis of Trends in Baseflow Recession and Low-Flows in Rain-Dominated Coastal Streams of the Pacific Coast. Journal of Hydrology 59:599-610, DOI: [10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.07.046.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.07.046)
- Schremmer, S.L.C., 2014. Resilience in a Time of Drought: Building a Transferable Model for Collective Action in North Coast Watersheds. MS Thesis, Humboldt State University, Arcata, California. [http://scholarworks.calstate.edu.ezproxy.humboldt.](http://scholarworks.calstate.edu.ezproxy.humboldt.edu/handle/2148/1933) [edu/handle/2148/1933,](http://scholarworks.calstate.edu.ezproxy.humboldt.edu/handle/2148/1933) accessed February 2015.
- Shapovalov, L. and A.C. Taft, 1954. The Life Histories of the Steelhead Rainbow Trout (Salmo gairdneri gairdneri) and Silver Salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) with Special Reference to Waddell Creek, California, and Recommendations Regarding Their Management. Fish bulletin No. 98. State of California, Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California, 375 pp. <http://content.cdlib.org/ark:/13030/kt9x0nb3v6/>, accessed February 2015.
- Sillett, S.C., R. Van Pelt, R.D. Kramer, and A.L. Carroll, 2013. Annual Rates of Trunk Wood Production in Old-Growth Redwood Forests Since 1750. Past, Present, and Future of Redwoods: A Redwood Ecology and Climate Symposium. Save the Redwood League, San Francisco, California. [http://issuu.](http://issuu.com/savetheredwoodsleague/docs/rcci-symposium-2013-abstracts) [com/savetheredwoodsleague/docs/rcci-symposium-2013-abstracts](http://issuu.com/savetheredwoodsleague/docs/rcci-symposium-2013-abstracts), accessed February 2015.
- Sonali, P. and D. Nagesh Kumar, 2013. Review of Trend Detection Methods and Their Application to Detect Temperature Changes in India. Journal of Hydrology 476:212-227, DOI: [10.1016/j.jhy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.10.034)[drol.2012.10.034](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.10.034).
- Stewart, I.T., D.R. Cayan, and M.D. Dettinger, 2005. Changes toward Earlier Streamflow Timing across Western North America. Journal of Climate 18:1136-1155, DOI: [10.1175/JCLI3321.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3321.1).
- Stillwater Sciences, 1999. South Fork Eel TMDL: Sediment Source Analysis, Final Report. Prepared for Tetra Tech, Inc. by Stillwater Sciences, Berkeley, California. [http://www.ibrarian.net/](http://www.ibrarian.net/navon/paper/SOUTH_FORK_EEL_TMDL__SEDIMENT_SOURCE_ANALYSIS_Fin.pdf?paperid=15131729) [navon/paper/SOUTH_FORK_EEL_TMDL__SEDIMENT_SOURCE_](http://www.ibrarian.net/navon/paper/SOUTH_FORK_EEL_TMDL__SEDIMENT_SOURCE_ANALYSIS_Fin.pdf?paperid=15131729) [ANALYSIS_Fin.pdf?paperid=15131729,](http://www.ibrarian.net/navon/paper/SOUTH_FORK_EEL_TMDL__SEDIMENT_SOURCE_ANALYSIS_Fin.pdf?paperid=15131729) accessed August 2015.
- USFWS (United States Fish and Wildlife Service) and HVT (Hoopa Valley Tribe), 1999. Trinity River Flow Evaluation. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. [http://odp.trrp.net/](http://odp.trrp.net/Data/Documents/Details.aspx?document=226) [Data/Documents/Details.aspx?document=226,](http://odp.trrp.net/Data/Documents/Details.aspx?document=226) accessed February 2015.
- Van Kirk, R.W. and S.W. Naman, 2008. Relative Effects of Climate and Water Use on Base-Flow Trends in the Lower Klamath Basin. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 44:1035-1052, DOI: [10.1111/j.1752-1688.2008.00212.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2008.00212.x)
- Vano, J.A., B. Nijssen, and D.P. Lettenmaier, 2015. Seasonal Hydrologic Responses to Climate Change in the Pacific Northwest. Water Resources Research 51:1959-1976, DOI: [10.1002/2014WR015909](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015909).
- Waibel, M.S., M.W. Gannett, H. Chang, and C.L. Hulbe, 2013. Spatial Variability of the Response to Climate Change in Regional Groundwater Systems — Examples from Simulations in the Deschutes Basin, Oregon. Journal of Hydrology 486:187-201, DOI: [10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.019).
- Wang, S., Z. Zhang, T.R. McVicar, J. Guo, Y. Tang, and A. Yao, 2013. Isolating the Impacts of Climate Change and Land Use Change on Decadal Streamflow Variation: Assessing Three Complementary Approaches. Journal of Hydrology 507:63-74, DOI: [10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.10.018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.10.018).
- Yue, S., P. Pilon, and G. Cavadias, 2002a. Power of the Mann-Kendall and Spearman's Rho Tests for Detecting Monotonic Trends in Hydrological Series. Journal of Hydrology 259:254-271, DOI: [10.1016/S0022-1694\(01\)00594-7.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00594-7)
- Yue, S., P. Pilon, B. Phinney, and G. Cavadias, 2002b. The Influence of Autocorrelation on the Ability to Detect Trend in Hydrological Series. Hydrological Processes 16:1807-1829, DOI: [10.1002/hyp.1095](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.1095).
- Zhang, A., C. Zhang, G. Fu, B. Wang, Z. Bao, and H. Zheng, 2012. Assessments of Impacts of Climate Change and Human Activities on Runoff with SWAT for the Huifa River Basin, Northeast China. Water Resources Management 26:2199-2217, DOI: [10.1007/s11269-012-0010-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11269-012-0010-8)
- Zhang, L., W.R. Dawes, and G.R. Walker, 2001. Response of Mean Annual Evapotranspiration to Vegetation Changes at Catchment Scale. Water Resources Research 37:701-708, DOI: [10.1029/2000WR900325](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000WR900325).
- Zhao, F., L. Zhang, Z. Xu, and D.F. Scott, 2010. Evaluation of Methods for Estimating the Effects of Vegetation Change and Climate Variability on Streamflow. Water Resources Research 46:W03505, DOI: [10.1029/2009WR007702](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009WR007702).
- Ziemer, R., 1987. Water Yields from Forests: An Agnostic View. In: R.Z. Callaham and J.J. DeVries (Tech. Coord.) Proceedings of the California Watershed Management Conference, November 18-20, 1986, West Sacramento, California, pp. 74-78. Wildland Resources Center, University of California, Berkeley, California. Report No. 11, February 1987. [http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/](http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/8672) [pubs/8672](http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/8672), accessed February 2015.